A Moving Mesh Finite Element Method for Modelling Defects in Liquid Crystals

Alison Ramage

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde



Joint work with Craig MacDonald and John Mackenzie

Motivation

- Liquid crystals may have different equilibrium configurations: can force switching between stable states by altering applied voltage, magnetic field, boundary conditions, ...
- Understanding the formation and dynamics of defects is important in the design and control of liquid crystal devices.
- Defects typically induce distortion over very small length scales as compared to the size of the cell.
- This poses significant challenges for standard numerical modelling techniques.
- In this talk we present a finite-element based adaptive moving mesh model designed to track defect movement.

• Represent average molecular orientation by symmetric traceless order tensor

$$\mathbf{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left\langle \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \right\rangle$$

with five degrees of freedom.

• Represent **Q** using a (non-unique) basis of five linearly-independent tensors, e.g.

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ q_2 & q_4 & q_5 \\ q_3 & q_5 & -q_1 - q_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Five unknowns for PDE model: q_1 , q_2 , q_3 , q_4 , q_5 .

Q-tensor equations

• Minimise the free energy

$$F = \int_V F_{bulk}(\mathbf{Q}, \nabla \mathbf{Q}) \, dv$$

 $\textit{F}_{bulk} = \textit{F}_{elastic} + \textit{F}_{thermotropic} + \textit{F}_{electrostatic}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{elastic} &= \frac{1}{2} L_1 (\operatorname{div} \, \mathbf{Q})^2 + \frac{1}{2} L_2 |\nabla \times \mathbf{Q}|^2 \\ F_{thermotropic} &= \frac{1}{2} A (\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}^*) \operatorname{tr} \, \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} B \operatorname{tr} \, \mathbf{Q}^3 + \frac{1}{4} C (\operatorname{tr} \, \mathbf{Q}^2)^2 \\ F_{electrostatic} &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{E} - (\bar{e} \operatorname{div} \, \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{E} \end{aligned}$$

• Solutions with least energy are physically relevant: solve Euler-Lagrange equations.

Derivation of time-dependent PDEs

• Use a dissipation function with viscosity coefficient ν :

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\nu}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] = \nu (\dot{q}_1 \dot{q}_4 + \dot{q}_1^2 + \dot{q}_2^2 + \dot{q}_3^2 + \dot{q}_4^2 + \dot{q}_5^2).$$

• Obtain **Q**-tensor PDEs (for i = 1, ..., 5 and j = 1, 2, 3):

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} =
abla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_i - \hat{f}_i,$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_i)_j = \frac{\partial F_{bulk}}{\partial q_{i,j}}, \qquad q_{i,j} = \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_j}, \qquad \hat{f}_i = \frac{\partial F_{bulk}}{\partial q_i}.$$

• Combining equations and manipulating terms we can write

$$\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}_i - f_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, 5.$$

Coupling with electric field

- Additional unknown U such that $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla U$.
- Assuming no free charges, solve the Maxwell equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$ for electric displacement \mathbf{D} .

SUMMARY

• Final time-dependent physical PDEs (PPDEs) are

$$\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}_i - f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5,$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0.$$

• 6 PDEs in 6 unknowns $(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, U)$

Adapt PPDEs for mesh movement

- Focus here on Moving Mesh PDE model.
 Huang and Russell, Adaptive Moving Mesh Methods, Springer (2011)
- Define physical domain Ω and computational domain Ω_c .
- Map $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi, \eta) \subset \Omega_c$ to $\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \subset \Omega$ using bijective mappings $\mathcal{A}_t : \Omega_c \to \Omega$ such that

 $\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\xi},t)=\mathcal{A}_t(\boldsymbol{\xi}).$

• Define a mesh velocity

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \left(\mathcal{A}_t^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \right)$$

and apply the Chain Rule to get

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\Big|_{\mathbf{X}} + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla q.$$

Finite elements for the physical PDEs

• PPDEs in computational domain (i = 1, ..., 5):

$$\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla q = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i - f_i, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0.$$

• Find $q_{ih}(t)$, U_h such that, for test functions v_h ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} q_{ih} \mathbf{v}_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{x}} q_{ih})) \, \mathbf{v}_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\Omega} \mathsf{\Gamma}_{ih} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega} f_{ih} \mathbf{v}_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \\ \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}_h \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} &= 0. \end{split}$$

• Non-linear differential algebraic system ($i = 1, \dots, 5$)

 $\frac{d}{dt}(M(t)\mathbf{q}_i(t)) = \mathbf{G}_i(t,\mathbf{q}_i(t),\mathbf{u}(t)), \qquad \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}_i(t),\mathbf{u}(t)) = \mathbf{0}.$

Moving Mesh PDEs

• Avoid mesh crossings by evolving the inverse mapping

 $\mathcal{A}_t^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t).$

• Choose mapping $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ for a fixed t to minimise

$$I[\boldsymbol{\xi}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_t} \left[(\nabla \xi)^T G^{-1} (\nabla \xi) + (\nabla \eta)^T G^{-1} (\nabla \eta) \right] \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}$$

with 2×2 symmetric positive definite monitor matrix G.

- For robustness, evolve mesh via gradient flow equations $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = \frac{P}{\tau} \nabla \cdot (G^{-1} \nabla \xi), \qquad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = \frac{P}{\tau} \nabla \cdot (G^{-1} \nabla \eta).$
- User-specified parameters:
 - positive temporal smoothing parameter τ ;
 - positive spatial balancing function $P(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

Final form of MMPDE

• Use Winslow monitor matrix with monitor function $w(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{cc} w & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{array} \right].$$

• In practice, interchange variable roles in MMPDE to obtain

$$\tau \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = P(a\mathbf{x}_{\xi\xi} + b\mathbf{x}_{\xi\eta} + c\mathbf{x}_{\eta\eta} + d\mathbf{x}_{\xi} + e\mathbf{x}_{\eta}).$$

$$a = \frac{1}{w} \frac{x_{\eta}^{2} + y_{\eta}^{2}}{J^{2}}, \quad b = -\frac{2}{w} \frac{(x_{\xi}x_{\eta} + y_{\xi}y_{\eta})}{J^{2}}, \quad c = \frac{1}{w} \frac{x_{\xi}^{2} + y_{\xi}^{2}}{J^{2}},$$

$$d = \frac{1}{(wJ)^{2}} [w_{\xi}(x_{\eta}^{2} + y_{\eta}^{2}) - w_{\eta}(x_{\xi}x_{\eta} + y_{\xi}y_{\eta}),$$

$$e = \frac{1}{(wJ)^{2}} [-w_{\xi}(x_{\xi}x_{\eta} + y_{\xi}y_{\eta}) + w_{\eta}(x_{\xi}^{2} + y_{\xi}^{2})].$$

```
Set an initial uniform mesh \Delta_N^0. Set the initial guess \mathbf{q}_i^0.
Select an initial \Delta t^0. Set n = 0.
while (t^n < t^{\max});
Evaluate monitor function at time t^n.
Integrate MMPDE forward in time to obtain new grid \Delta_N^{n+1}.
Integrate PPDEs forward using SDIRK2 to obtain \mathbf{q}_i^{n+1}, \mathbf{u}^{n+1}.
n := n + 1.
end while.
```

Choice of monitor function

• BM2: Based on second-order partial derivatives of $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$w(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x},t)) = \alpha(\mathbf{x},t) + \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{T}}{\partial x^2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{T}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{T}}{\partial y^2}\right)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

- Scaling parameters α and *m* regulate mesh clustering.
- Input function based on a direct invariant measure of biaxiality

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x},t) = \left[1 - rac{6 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Q}^3)^2}{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2)^3}
ight]^{rac{1}{2}}.$$

 This has an extremum at the centre of a defect and varies rapidly in the immediate neighbourhood of the defect centre.

Test problem: 2D Pi-cell

- Two-dimensional Pi-cell geometry.
 Zhang, Chung, Wang and Bos, Liquid Crystals 34(2), 2007
- Electric field applied parallel to the cell thickness.
- Inhomogeneous transition mediated by the nucleation of defect pairs moving and annihilating each other.
- Initial director angle across cell centre follows sin(2πx/p) for cell width p at t = 0 for one time step.
- Use triangular grid with quadratic basis functions for PPDEs, linear basis functions for MMPDE.

Pi-cell geometry

- Pre-tilt angle $\theta = \pm 6^{\circ}$ at boundaries.
- Electric field strength $18V\mu m^{-1}$.



3

글 🕨 🔺 글 🕨

Biaxiality and mesh after 12μ s



5990

Director field after 15.5μ s



Biaxiality after (a) 15.5μ s (b) 16μ s and (c) 17μ s



5990

Adaptive mesh after (a) 15.5μ s (b) 16μ s and (c) 17μ s



500

Summary and future work

- New efficient moving mesh method for **Q**-tensor models of liquid crystal cells.
- Found biaxiality to be a good choice for the monitor input function.
- Demonstrated optimal spatial convergence for a model of a static +1/2 defect.
- Method resolved the movement and core details of defects (including creation and annihilation) in a time-dependent Pi-cell problem.

MacDonald, Mackenzie and Ramage, JCP:X 8, 2020

• Future challenges involve the extension to more irregular geometries (e.g. the ZBD) and three dimensions.